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ABSTRACT

Aims: No gold standard for pain management after total hip arthroplasty (THA) exists. This prospective, randomized, open-label study aimed to determine whether lateral femoral cutaneous nerve block and local infiltration analgesia (LIA) were effective analgesic adjuvants to femoral nerve block (FNB) after THA.
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ABBREVIATIONS

THA : Total Hip Arthroplasty
LIA : Local Infiltration Analgesia
FNB : Femoral Nerve Block
NRS : Numerical Rating Scale
PONV : Postoperative Nausea and Vomiting
TCI : Target-Controlled Infusion
ANOVA : A Factorial Analysis of Variance
ASA : American Society of Anesthesiologists

1. INTRODUCTION

“Total hip arthroplasty (THA) is often associated with moderate to severe postoperative pain and peripheral nerve blocks have been used as part of a multimodal analgesic strategy. Pain after THA consists of pain at the site of the incision, the hip joint, and pain caused by reflexogenic contracture of the quadriceps musculature” [1]. “Owing to the complex sensory innervations of the hip joint, no single nerve block allows sufficient analgesia. The combination of multiple nerve blocks plays an essential role in general anesthesia” [2]. “Peripheral nerve blocks used for postoperative pain management after THA include femoral nerve block (FNB), fascia iliaca block, and lumbar plexus block” [3]. “Numerous analgesics and analgesic techniques have been applied for pain management after THA” [4]. “However, no gold standard exists for pain management after THA. Inadequate pain control not only affects patient satisfaction, but also negatively impacts surgical outcomes” [5].

“Wound pain may play a role in pain after THA. Local wound infiltration (LIA) has been used as part of an analgesic regimen for THA. LIA has additional analgesic efficacy in THA when combined with a multimodal analgesic regimen” [3]. Supplemental single-shot femoral nerve block shows beneficial effects on postoperative management and recovery after THA [6]. “The analgesic effect of nerve blocks after THA surgery is well established; however, the lateral femoral cutaneous nerve block in this setting is uncertain” [7]. “The lateral femoral cutaneous nerve is a sensory branch from the lumbar plexus and supplies parts of the lateral and anterior upper thigh. Lateral femoral cutaneous nerve block seeks to remove wound pain after THA. Reports on whether lateral femoral cutaneous nerve block combined with opioids and NSAIDs is effective for postoperative pain after THA have controversial results” [1,3]. However, few studies have compared the postoperative additional analgesic effects of lateral femoral cutaneous nerve block with LIA, combined with FNB after THA.

This prospective, randomized, open-label study aimed to determine whether lateral femoral cutaneous nerve block and LIA were effective analgesic adjuvants to FNB after THA.
2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Patients

After registration with the University Hospital Medical Information Network (ID: UMIN000035841 on April 1, 2019), this prospective, randomized, open-label study was conducted at Nagasaki Rosai Hospital between April 2019 and May 2020.

2.2 Study Protocol

This clinical trial included 50 American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status 1 or 2 patients weighing 50–70 kg who underwent THA by the posterior approach under general anesthesia. The patients received a continuous infusion of remifentanil 0.5 μg/kg/min and propofol 5 μg/mL for 2 min followed by 3 μg/mL to achieve the desired effect-site concentration using a target-controlled infusion (TCI) system (TCI pump, TE-371, Terumo, Tokyo, Japan). Rocuronium (0.8 mg/kg) was administered to facilitate tracheal intubation after the loss of consciousness. The effect-site concentrations of propofol and remifentanil were titrated to maintain a bispectral index score between 40 and 60 after tracheal intubation. All patients received ultrasound-guided FNB using 0.25% levobupivacaine 20 mL before the operation. Patients were randomly allocated to one of the following two groups: Group B (n=25), which received ultrasound-guided lateral femoral cutaneous nerve block with 0.25% levobupivacaine 20 mL after FNB; and Group I (n= 25), which received 0.25% levobupivacaine 20 mL over the incision line into the muscle, and the subcutaneous, and cutaneous tissue along the wound edge after the fascia closure. The ultrasound-guided lateral femoral cutaneous nerve was performed from the anterior superior iliac spine along the inguinal ligament by scanning laterally to medially. We identified lateral femoral cutaneous nerve between the fascia lata and iliaca. All patients received bolus administration of 250 μg fentanyl before skin closure [8] and 1000 mg intravenous acetaminophen administration before the end of the operation, and at 6, 12, 18, and 24 h after the operation. The patients were administered a 50 mg diclofenac sodium suppository, as rescue analgesic after surgery when the patient requested analgesia. The nursing staff evaluated postoperative pain using a numerical rating scale (NRS; 10 points from 0 to 10) at 0, 1, 3, 6, 12, 18, and 24 h postoperatively. The NRS scores were evaluated immediately before analgesic drug injection at 6, 12, and 18 h.

2.3 Measurement

The primary outcome was the postoperative pain evaluated by the nursing staff using the NRS at 0, 1, 3, 6, 12, 18, and 24 h postoperatively. The secondary outcomes were the frequency of rescue analgesic use over 12 and 24 h, and the incidence of postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) during the 24 h after surgery.

2.4 Statistical Analysis

Values were expressed as medians (interquartile range). Intergroup comparisons were performed using Mann–Whitney U and chi-square tests. A factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA) with repeated measures was used to analyze the differences in NRS data among the time points and groups. Post hoc comparisons were performed using the Bonferroni/Dunn procedure, if appropriate. Statistical significance was defined as a p-value of <0.05.

The sample size was determined based on a previous study (standard deviation, 2.44) [1], which indicated that a power of 80% would be required to detect a difference of 2 in NRS scores between the two groups at a 5% significance level if each group contained 24 patients.

3. RESULTS

Table 1 shows the patient characteristics for each group. The two groups showed no significant difference in patient characteristics, except for the operative time.

The repeated-measures ANOVA revealed no significant differences in NRS scores between the two groups during the study period (Fig. 1). The NRS scores at 12, 18, and 24 h postoperatively were lower than that at 0 h in Group B. The NRS scores at 1 h postoperatively were higher than those at 0 h in Group I.

No significant difference was noted in the frequency of rescue analgesics during the study period between the groups (Table 2). Moreover, no significant difference was found in the incidence of PONV between the groups (Table 2).
Table 1. Patients characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LFCNB group</th>
<th>LIA group</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients (n)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>71 (63, 74)</td>
<td>70 (67, 88)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>151 (148, 160)</td>
<td>152 (147, 155)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>60 (56, 64)</td>
<td>58 (54, 64)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25.6 (24.0, 27.2)</td>
<td>25.0 (23.1, 27.4)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anesthetic time (min)</td>
<td>192 (177, 201)</td>
<td>198 (192, 215)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative time (min)</td>
<td>108 (98, 120)</td>
<td>121 (111, 135)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative blood loss (g)</td>
<td>280 (255, 353)</td>
<td>280 (208, 458)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are median (interquartile range) or number. LFCNB, lateral femoral cutaneous nerve block; LIA, local infiltration anesthesia; BMI, body mass index; n, number

Fig. 1. Numerical rating scale in group lateral femoral cutaneous nerve block (B) and LIA (I) at each time point. Values are expressed as median (line inside the boxes), interquartile range (boxes), and 10-90percentiles (whiskers). B, lateral femoral cutaneous nerve block; LIA: local infiltration analgesia; NRS, numerical rating scale; *p < 0.05 vs. 0hr values

Table 2. Postoperative valuables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LFCNB group</th>
<th>LIA group</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PONV (n)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rescue analgesics for 12 hr (n)</td>
<td>0 (0, 1)</td>
<td>0 (0, 1)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rescue analgesics for 24 hr (n)</td>
<td>0 (0, 1)</td>
<td>0 (0, 1)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are median (interquartile range) or number. LFCNB, lateral femoral cutaneous nerve block; LIA, local infiltration anesthesia; n, number; PONV, postoperative nausea and vomiting

4. DISCUSSION

No significant difference was observed in NRS scores and frequencies of rescue analgesia between the two groups. The results showed that lateral femoral cutaneous nerve block with FNB and LIA with FNB would have the equivalent adjunctive analgesic effect after THA.

*Superficially, femoral nerve and lateral femoral cutaneous nerve innervate the anterior and lateral thigh, which meets the need for hip
surgery incision in most cases. In a deep layer, the hip joint is innervated by the articular branches of obturator, femoral, sciatic, and gluteal nerves, so the FNB provided some analgesia for the hip” [2]. Supplemental single shot FNB shows beneficial effects on postoperative management and recovery after THA [6]. However, FNB is known not to cover the complete anatomic area for surgical exposure for THA.

“Although the analgesic effect of nerve blocks including FNB after THA is well established, the role of the lateral femoral cutaneous nerve block after THA is uncertain. The lateral femoral cutaneous nerve arises from the lumbar plexus” [4]. “It has a highly variable course with innervation of the skin on the upper lateral or anterior part of the thigh. It has been suggested that lateral femoral cutaneous nerve block may not cover the full area of incision from THA in a large proportion of patients” [9]. A study [4] showed that “lateral femoral cutaneous nerve block reduced movement-related pain in patients with pain after THA. However, the substantial non-responder rate limits the recommendation of this block as a standard analgesic treatment regimen”. “Moreover, the optimal volume for this block is yet to be determined. A lateral femoral cutaneous nerve block with an increased volume of ropivacaine from 8 to 16 mL did not result in greater coverage of posterior incision lines for THA; however, in a larger blocked sensory area” [7]. It is theoretically possible that minimally invasive THA procedures utilizing smaller incisions could benefit more; however, this has yet to be investigated. We selected 20 mL of levobupivacaine for lateral femoral cutaneous nerve block after a minimally invasive THA procedure.

“Chronic pain developing after THA is a serious issue that can lead to life-altering morbidity. Risk factors for developing postoperative chronic pain include preoperative pain, poorly controlled acute postoperative pain, and intraoperative nerve damage” [5]. “Because severe preoperative pain refractory to medical management is the indication for THA, it is important to focus on reducing the amount of acute postoperative pain. Traditionally, pain after THA has been managed with systemic opioids, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatories, and acetaminophen. Because some of these medications have significant adverse effects, peripheral nerve block and LIA for postoperative pain after THA is attracting attention. These regional analgesia techniques reduced postoperative pain, morphine consumption, and nausea and vomiting” [11].

“In contrast to LIA for total knee arthroplasty, the efficacy of LIA for analgesia after THA is not widely accepted” [10]. However, some studies provided supportive evidence for the analgesic effectiveness of LIA after THA [10]. “In a recent meta-analysis, no difference was observed between LIA and peripheral nerve blocks in terms of opioid consumption and pain intensity” [11]. Therefore, LIA may have a good probability of efficacy for patient outcomes. Single injection LIA had an analgesic effect with no side-effects [12]. Therefore, PROSPECT guideline [12] recommended LIA, especially if there were contra-indications to basic analgesics and/or in patients with high expected postoperative pain. Moreover, the optimal volume for LIA is yet to be determined. The dose of levobupivacaine was lesser than those of other studies [13,14]. We selected 20 mL of levobupivacaine for LIA for comparison to lateral femoral cutaneous nerve block after a minimally invasive THA procedure.

A limitation of this study is that because the study was not blinded, bias by the nurses was possible. However, since more than 24 nurses were randomly involved in the care of each patient in the ward during the study period, the likelihood of bias was extremely low [15]. Second limitation is that because the study did not have control patients without any nerve blocks including femoral nerve block. However, the patients without any nerve block would feel severe pain because FNB have some analgesia after THA [2].

5. CONCLUSION

We concluded that both lateral femoral cutaneous nerve block and LIA would have the equivalent adjunctive analgesic effect to FNB after THA.
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